Michael L. ertzberg 1 275 Madison Ave. New York, NY 10016 2 (212) 679-1167 3 Stephen A. Lenske Lawrence E. Heller 4 LENSKE, LENSKE & HELLER 6400 Canoga Ave., Ste 315 5 Woodland Hills, CA 91367 (818) 716-1444 6 Attorneys for Defendant 7 AUTHOR SERVICES, INC. 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 VICKI J. AZNARAN and) CASE No. CV 88-1786 JMI(Ex) 11 RICHARD N. AZNARAN, ANSWER OF AUTHOR SERVICES, INC. 12 Plaintiffs, 13 v. 14 15 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF CALIFORNIA, et al., 16 Defendants. 17 18 Defendant Author Services, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant"), 19 20 complaint in this action as follows: 21

for itself only and for no other Defendant, answers plaintiffs'

Defendant admits the averments of paragraph 1 insofar as there is diversity of citizenship but denies that the amount in controversy between plaintiffs and this Defendant exceeds \$10,000. This Defendant admits that it has its principal offices within this district. This Defendant neither admits nor denies the averments pertaining to other defendants and says that said averments should be answered by said other defendants

22

23

24

25

26

if and wher hey are required to do so Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remainder of the averments and, on that ground, denies those averments.

- 2. Defendant is informed and believes and on that basis admits the truth of the averments in paragraph 2.
- 3. This Defendant admits that it is a California corporation, doing business in the State of California. This Defendant neither admits nor denies the averments pertaining to other defendants and says that said averments should be answered by said other defendants if and when they are required to do so.
- 4. This Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraph 4 and on that basis denies each of these averments.
- 5. This Defendant neither admits nor denies the averments pertaining to other defendants and says that said averments should be answered by said other defendants if and when they are required to do so.
- 6. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 6.
- 7. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 7.
- 8. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 8.
- 9. This Defendant is informed and believes and on that basis admits that plaintiffs were members of Churches of Scientology at various times. Defendant lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remainder of the averments and, on that ground, denies those averments.

- 10. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 10.
- 11. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 11.
- 12. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 12.
- 13. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 13.
- 14. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 14.
- 15. This Defendant denies that Vicki Aznaran was employed by this defendant. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remainder of the averments and, on that ground, denies those averments.
- 16. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 16.
- 17. This Defendant denies that Richard Aznaran worked as a security specialist for this Defendant. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remainder of the averments and, on that ground, denies those averments.
- 18. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 18.
- 19. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 19.

- 20. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments and, on that ground, denies those averments.
- 21. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 21.
- 22. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 22.
- 23. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 23.
- 24. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments and, on that ground, denies those averments.
- 25. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments and, on that ground, denies those averments.
- 26. Defendant denies that plaintiffs were in contact with it or under its control and denies the remainder of the averments in paragraph 26.
- 27. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 27.
- 28. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 28.
- 29. In answering the averments contained in paragraph 29 wherein plaintiffs adopt by reference paragraphs 2 through 28 of their common averments, this Defendant admits, denies and avers to the same effect and in the same manner as this Defendant admitted, denied and averred with respect to those specific paragraphs as previously set forth in this answer.

- 30. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 30.
- 31. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 31.
- 32. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 32.
- 33. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 33.
- 34. In answering the averments contained in paragraph 34 wherein plaintiffs adopt by reference paragraphs 2 through 33 of their complaint, this Defendant admits, denies, and avers to the same effect and in the same manner as this Defendant admitted, denied and averred with respect to those specific paragraphs as previously set forth in this Answer.
- 35. This Defendant admits that Churches of Scientology render religious services of a spiritual nature based on the religious beliefs of Scientology. This Defendant denies the remainder of the averments contained in paragraph 35.
- 36. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 36.
- 37. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 37.
- 38. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 38.
- 39. In answering the averments contained in paragraph 39 wherein plaintiffs adopt by reference paragraphs 2 through 39 of their complaint, this Defendant admits, denies and avers to the same effect and in the same manner as this Defendant

admitted, denied and averred with respect to those specific paragraphs as previously set forth in this Answer.

- 40. This Defendant admits that Churches of Scientology render religious services of a spiritual nature based on the religious beliefs of Scientology. This Defendant denies the remainder of the averments contained in paragraph 40.
- 41. This Defendant denies each and every averment of Paragraph 41.
- 42. This Defendant denies each and every averment of Paragraph 42.
- 43. In answering the averments contained in Paragraph 43 wherein plaintiffs adopt by reference paragraphs 2 through 42 of their complaint, this Defendant admits, denies, and avers to the same effect and in the same manner as this Defendant admitted, denied and averred with respect to those specific paragraphs as previously set forth in this Answer.
- 44. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 44.
- 45. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 45.
- 46. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 46.
- 47. In answering the averments contained in paragraph 47 wherein plaintiffs adopt by reference paragraphs 2 through 46 of their complaint, this Defendant admits, denies, and avers to the same effect and in the same manner as this Defendant admitted, denied and averred with respect to those specific paragraphs previously set forth in this Answer.

28

paragraph 58.

This Defendant denies each and every averment of

59. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 59.

- 60. In answering the averments contained in paragraph 60 wherein plaintiffs adopt by reference paragraphs 2 through 59 of their complaint, this Defendant admits, denies and avers to the same effect and in the same manner as this Defendant admitted, denied and averred with respect to those specific paragraphs previously set forth in this Answer.
- 61. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 61.
- 62. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 62.
- 63. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 63.
- 64. In answering the averments contained in paragraph 60 wherein plaintiffs adopt by reference paragraphs 2 through 59 of their complaint, this Defendant admits, denies and avers to the same effect and in the same manner as this Defendant admitted, denied and averred with respect to those specific paragraphs previously set forth in this Answer.
- 65. This Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraph 65 and on that basis denies each of these averments.
- 66. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 66.
- 67. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 67.

- 68. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 68.
- 69. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 69.
- 70. In answering the averments contained in paragraph 70 wherein plaintiffs adopt by reference paragraphs 2 through 69 of their complaint, this Defendant admits, denies, and avers to the same effect and in the same manner as this Defendant admitted, denied and averred with respect to those specific paragraphs previously set forth in this Answer.
- 71. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 71.
- 72. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 72.
- 73. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 73.
- 74. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 74.
- 75. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 75.
- 76. In answering the averments contained in paragraph 76 wherein plaintiffs adopt by reference paragraphs 2 through 75 of their complaint, this Defendant admits, denies, and avers to the same effect and in the same manner as this Defendant admitted, denied and averred with respect to those specific paragraphs previously set forth in this Answer.
- 77. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 77.

- 78. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 78.
- 79. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 79.
- 80. In answering the averments contained in paragraph 80 wherein plaintiffs adopt by reference paragraphs 2 through 79 of their complaint, this Defendant admits, denies, and avers to the same effect and in the same manner as this Defendant admitted, denied and averred with respect to those specific paragraphs previously set forth in this Answer.
- 81. This Defendant admits that Churches of Scientology render religious services of a spiritual nature based on the religious beliefs of Scientology. This Defendant denies the remainder of the averments contained in paragraph 81.
- 82. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 82.
- 83. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 83.
- 84. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 84.
- 85. This Defendant denies each and every averment of paragraph 85.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

86. The Complaint fails to state any claim upon which relief may be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

87. Plaintiffs' claims in this action, and each of them, are barred by applicable statutes of limitations, including but

not limite to, those found in Califor a Code of Civil Procedure §§ 338(1), 338(3), 338(4), 339(1), 340(1), 340(3), and 343.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

88. The claims asserted by Vicki Aznaran, and each of them, are barred by a Mutual Settlement and Release, dated April 9, 1987, duly executed by Vicki Aznaran. The release between the parties covers and includes each claim in the Complaint and precludes Vicki Aznaran from bringing these claims, and each of them, or any other claims against Defendant.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

89. The claims asserted by Richard Aznaran, and each of them, are barred by a Mutual Settlement and Release, dated April 9, 1987 duly executed by Richard Aznaran. The release between the parties covers and includes each claim in the Complaint and precludes Richard Aznaran from bringing these claims, and each of them, or any other claims against Defendant.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

90. Certain claims asserted by Richard Aznaran are barred by a Declaration and Release of Claims dated April 9, 1987, executed by Richard Aznaran.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

91. The duly executed Mutual Settlement and Releases and Declaration and Release of Claims described herein constitute an accord and satisfaction of each and every claim made in the Complaint.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

92. Plaintiffs, and each of them, have waived all right,

if any they ever had, to any and all recovery sought by the Complaint.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

93. Plaintiffs at all times voluntarily, knowingly and willingly assumed any and all risk arising from their participation in and/or association with the defendant herein with respect to all incidents and activities, including those referred to in the Complaint. Any and all claimed "injuries" or damages were proximately caused by plaintiffs' own conduct.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

94. Plaintiffs' claims, and each of them, are barred by applicable principles of the First Amendment to the Constitution. The complaint impermissibly intrudes on rights held by Defendant and others to privacy and association, to religious free exercise, and to freedom from excessive governmental entanglement in religious affairs.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

95. Plaintiffs' claims, and each of them, are barred by the doctrine of contributory negligence in that plaintiffs' own actions are a proximate legal cause for any injury or damages suffered by them. Plaintiffs, willfully and with knowledge, voluntarily entered into membership in the Scientology religion, and remained parishioners and employees of various Scientology-affiliated entities even after attaining high levels of training and responsibility within said entities.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

96. Relative to the acts or conduct alleged by plaintiffs,
Defendant as a matter of law is not and has never been the

agent, servant, employee, partner, associate, joint venturer, 1 co-participant, co-conspirator, or principal of any other 2 Defendant. Accordingly, Defendant is not liable to plaintiffs 3 for any of the acts complained of. 4 TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 5 97. Plaintiffs, after discovery of all the facts which 6 allegedly constituted defendants' fraudulent activity, made 7 ratification thereof by their conduct. 8 THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 9 This Defendant asserts that recovery is barred by the 10 doctrine of unclean hands. 11 WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for relief as follows: 12 1) That plaintiffs take nothing by their Complaint; 13 2) That Defendant recover its costs of suit herein; 14 3) That Defendant recover its attorneys' fees and costs of 15 defending the suit herein; and 16 4) That the Court award such further relief as it may deem 17 proper. 18 Dated: September 19, 1988 Respectfully submitted, 19 MICHAEL HERTZBERG 20 LENSKE, LENSKE & HELLER 21 22 LAWRENCE E. HELLER 23 Attorneys for Defendant 24 AUTHOR SERVICES, INC. 25 26 27

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 6255 Sunset Blvd., Suite 2000, Hollywood, California 90028.

On September 19, 1988, I served the foregoing document described as ANSWER OF AUTHOR SERVICES, INC. on interested parties by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States mail at Hollywood, California, addressed as follows:

SEE ATTACHED LIST

If hand service is indicated on the attached list, I caused such envelope to be served by hand, otherwise I caused such envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States mail at Hollywood, California.

Executed on September 19, 1988 at Hollywood, California.

SERVICE LIST

AZNARAN V. CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF CALIFORNIA, ET AL.,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 88-1786-JMI (Ex)

Howard L. Weitzman
WYMAN, BAUTZER, KUCHEL & SILBERT
2049 Century Park East
Los Angeles, California 90067

Eric Lieberman
RABINOWITZ, BOUDIN, STANDARD,
KRINSKY & LIEBERMAN, P.C.
740 Broadway at Astor Place
New York, New York 10003-9518

Kendrick L. Moxon BOWLES & MOXON 6255 Sunset Blvd. Suite 2000 Hollywood, CA 90028

Richard and Vicki Aznaran 5435 Belmont Dallas, Texas 75206

Earle C. Cooley COOLEY, MANION, MOORE & JONES, P.C. 530 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210